

SEPTEMBER 18, 2001/LE 18 SEPTEMBRE, 2001

---

# Quid Novi

McGill University, Faculty of Law

VOLUME 22, NO. 3









# "It's like something out of a movie"

by Karen Lajoie, Nat IV

**H**ow privileged we have been to be sheltered for so long from the daily global reality of terrorism. It says much about our culture and our isolation from physical threat that the most often heard reaction Tuesday compared the scenes of carnage and chaos in New York to a movie. And why not? We have no first hand experience of war - it's been more than 120 years since a war was fought on North American soil - and a generation since we sent soldiers to fight abroad in any prolonged fashion. Until Tuesday, mass destruction at home was as abstract as exploring Mars.

That the attack was orchestrated to resemble a grotesque film is no accident. Americans often seem unable to fully grasp the world beyond their borders, or to be engaged and interested in events that do not directly affect them - the media have been reporting this week as though they just discovered terrorism existed. Recent acts of aggression against U.S. institutions, like the bombings of the embassies in Kenya and Tanzania, and the U.S.S. Cole, have not captured the nation's attention either, despite death tolls in the hundreds and severe physical destruction. Even the 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center seemed to be viewed as an isolated incident by a populace secure in its inviolability. This event was specifically designed to grab the American populace by the throat and shake it until it felt faint. In that, it has succeeded.

Comparisons have been made to Pearl Harbor, the only other time Americans have been subject to surprise attack. With all due respect, they have little to do with one another - Pearl Harbor was a military strike against a military target. A more fitting analogy is Hiroshima. On

Tuesday, CNN featured a striking image from a helicopter over Weeboken, New Jersey, with the Statue of Liberty in the bottom left corner, all of lower Manhattan behind her obliterated by dense smoke. It looked as I imagine television coverage of Hiroshima might have. The area devastated then, a shade more than 4.5 square miles, is roughly equivalent to the emergency zone of 14th Street to the waterfront, and the initial casualty estimates of 50 thousand not that much smaller than the 66 thousand killed in 1945. In both cases, the victims were unsuspecting civilians going about the mundane tasks of their morning, oblivious to what was moments away in the air.

A CNN commentator remarked Tuesday night that we should at least be thankful no bio-chemical weapons had been deployed. He missed the entire point of the attacks. A chemical assault may very well have inflicted higher numbers of casualties than will eventually be tabulated, but it would not have had the same stunning propaganda and recruitment value as this abomination. Television needs drama, and news is no exception. Organizers staged this series of attacks with military precision. They could just as easily gone after a half dozen targets simultaneously. But by waiting until the top of the news cycle, and cascading each horrible, highly visual event at approximately 20 minute intervals, they gave the media machine the pictures it needed to go wall-to-wall. The sequencing of attacks was further designed to provoke chaos and terror across a nation sent reeling by each blow, only to start up and get hammered down again. The targets were expressly chosen for their potent symbolism, representing America's military and financial hegemony, and their

destruction is a propaganda coup. We have entered an era of media savvy terrorism, with the as-yet unidentified group responsible manipulating the titans of American media to full advantage.

President Bush, and an uncritical media, have portrayed this act of war as an assault upon freedom and democracy. Um....no. There are any number of free and democratic countries regularly ignored by international terrorists. This is a powerful, specific, attack on American global dominance, yet the United States is unwilling, or incapable, of understanding this. Average folks talking to reporters this week have seemed genuinely surprised some people doesn't like the U.S., never mind hate them enough to do something so shocking. It is precisely this ignorance, coupled with arrogance and power, that fuels hatred abroad. There have been insistent calls for military action, and talk of erasing terrorists and states that support terrorism. Have we learned nothing? Attacking civilians (and make no mistake, carpet bombing a country is all about killing civilians) will breed nothing but a thirst for revenge, ignite further hatred of the United States, and ensure an endless supply of people willing to die fighting the last remaining superpower.

Just as freedom of expression provisions are most important when they protect unpalatable speech, so too is the Rule of Law most relevant when we would circumvent it. We debase ourselves, and all we are supposed to stand for, if we turn into vigilante states drawn into a war of vengeance. By taking the bait, we show ourselves to be equally willing to diminish humanity in the pursuit of our cause, and the terrorists win. ■



## Dear Quiddites,

I was in the process of writing something funny to submit to this week's Quid. Something really funny. Now, I cannot concentrate on such mundane matters after watching the enormity of the tragedy unfold in New York. I cannot remember my sense of humour leaving me for so long.

The full horror of these attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon remain unknown. Many people have died and countless more are missing. Most of us have never experienced such atrocities. Terrorism is not limited to borders. This is a terrible, new beginning of something I do not completely comprehend.

Words impart little in these circumstances. I want to offer my deepest condolences to New Yorkers and all Americans, including those among the student body, faculty and administration and particularly to those people who have lost someone close or are awaiting news. I extend my sympathies to all Canadians and indeed to all people. I have been thinking of the afflicted families everyday.

David A. Johnson  
NAT IV



# Manhattan

## When I was in Grade 8

by Lawna Hurl, Law III

**I** was in grade 8 when the Space Shuttle Challenger blew up over Florida. Some one had come into our math class to tell us. The teacher talked with us about it and then he said that we would always remember where we were when we heard this news, in the same way that people would always remember where they were when JFK was shot. At the time I didn't really understand what he

meant. But in time I grew to realize that he was right. I can tell you where I was when I heard Ben Johnson had been caught cheating, when the Gulf War started and when my grandfather died. Of course what happened on Tuesday is something that etches itself in the brain more than anything else that has ever, and hopefully will ever, happen in our lifetime.

On Tuesday morning I was on

a treadmill at the Y, hooked into a TV set watching CNN. I don't recall what CNN was reporting but they switched to a live shot of the first tower smoldering. At that point they still weren't even sure that it was a plane that had hit the building. I watched in disbelief and horror as the second plane came into the screen and said almost in unison with the news anchor person, 'Oh my god.' I kept running, I had to, if I stopped I



would lose the sound to the channel on my headphones. I ran for another hour before breaking away to run home where I quickly turned on the TV. Like many people I have watched so much television in the past few days that I am now nauseous when it is even on. I was very lucky that everyone I know is OK, physically anyhow. However, everyone is never going to be completely OK again. The weirdness comes on so many levels. Do you call people for unrelated, yet seemingly mundane questions, in order to carry on with your day to day lives? How do you tear yourself away from continuous footage of carnage? And for some, how do you figure out how you are supposed to be acting or feeling?

When I was growing up I could have been considered unequivocally anti-American. I'm not sure why. My parents aren't particularly political and yet I remember having a screaming match with a girl who had brought an American flag to Rocky III and proceeded in parading up and down the aisles of the theatre with it before and after the movie. My first experience with a live American didn't help. I was stranded in the Heathrow airport with dozens of other exchange students when this large intimidating woman from Pennsylvania told me that Canada is a melting pot exactly like the United States and there was really no point in having the two separate countries. Of course, I came to meet many exceptional Americans, whom I consider some of my dearest friends to this day. But there was still something that didn't sit right with me. Finally when I was in a second year university undergraduate International Relations class, a very wise professor at the University of Alberta named Fred Judson clarified that there is no point in being anti-American, but if your beliefs were such, the proper approach would be anti-American foreign policy. That was it. I was anti-American foreign policy. A few years later I found myself in an International Security

course while at the University of New South Wales in Australia. A class discussion with an American girl turned in to a passionate debate. The subject was membership in the UN. My abstract point was that if you run a club in a certain way with no flexibility for various members then there are those who are simply not going to join. My colleague's point was very firm, join the club as it is or don't, but we're not changing it for anyone. I knew what she was saying but she could honestly not comprehend where I was coming from. I remember it clearly when she said, "The UN is in New York

and heavily influenced by America and it should stay that way, if China doesn't like it, tough."

I still find American foreign policy distasteful. I am an avid Michael Moore and Noam Chomsky reader and I think they have said some very interesting things about Tuesday. To be clear, I am not in any way shape or form saying that what happened this week was deserved. But that doesn't mean that American decision making hasn't resulted in a staggering number of deaths around the world each year. It doesn't mean that American policy decisions haven't trained and sold arms to those who may have been, or have had ties with, those responsible for this week's attack or responsible for causing death and destruction in other areas of the world every single day.

In grade 8, after Challenger, I was young enough that the reaction was genuine and pure, we weren't constrained with ideas of how we were supposed to act. We told terrible jokes (what does NASA stand for: Need Another Seven Astronauts) in a need to release tension, but there were also services at my school and other ways to let the full range of emotions come through. This week was so much harder. After watching two full days of death and destruc-

tion, I went out for a drink with two friends, mostly to get away from the television. We talked at length about what had happened. At one point one friend blurted out a witty, yet distasteful if repeated, barb and the three of us laughed until our guts hurt, and then we felt guilty for laughing. But we really needed to laugh. It is all too much, it is a sensory overload and I am tiring of not knowing what to say or do or how to act. I work at a comedy club and on Friday night the crowds were small and even though

### When I was growing up I could have been considered unequivocally anti-American.

not a single comedian brought up Tuesday's events, rightfully so, there was still a tension in the room. I personally told one person to fuck off and another to leave me alone for things that would not have normally affected me. But the people who came laughed and seemed to enjoy the show. For two hours they could focus on something else and it was something that they needed to do.

Obviously this event is unlike none other. I am still anti-American foreign policy. I am a soft-hearted milquetoast (my father's words) who thinks that retaliatory violence is dangerous and impossible to prevent from escalating with the end of escalation being the destruction of everyone and everything. It will take a long time to come to grips with the reality of what has happened but eventually I won't feel guilty about laughing, I will stop telling people to fuck off (unless they really deserve it) and I will call people when I need to about my mundane day to day life. And eventually I will reconcile my distaste of American foreign policy with my disgust at the violence of September 11, 2001 without losing either the distaste or the disgust. ■



# Freedom From Fear

by Joe Mik, Law III

**T**oday we are all Americans by citizenship or by sympathy. With the survivors we embrace the Scriptural consolation: "Be strong and of good courage; be not afraid, neither be thou dismayed" for the Lord our God "does not willingly afflict or grieve the sons of men." Anguish need not divert us from the purpose our assailants have set for us. Witness the steady courage of our firefighters. Theirs is a faith "rejoicing in hope, patient in tribulation."

Tuesday distinguished a God-fearing people from people who provoke fear in the name of God. There was nothing sly or sinister about our neighbors' business. Now it

is cut short by assassins. From them we cannot expect peace or security, mercy or generosity, negotiation or disarmament, good faith or sanctity of any sort. Though they flee to the ends of the Earth, yet will the Earth disgorge them, even as it cast out Cain in revulsion.

Some stir the ashes in search of blame. There will be self-doubt and second-guessing. The prophets of despair will diagnose some failure of policy or fatal flaw in American civilization. They call this crime a failure of international law. They insist that we turn away from our obligations overseas and withdraw within ourselves. Others demand war, in the hopes that striking someone, anyone will reinvigorate their flagging sense of security. These are

illusions not solutions, born of timidity, floundering in impatience...

Ours is "a call to bear the burden of a long twilight struggle." Let us pray for President Bush, that he wield our military with measure and justice. He need not sow the soil with salt to empty our nest of snakes. May he set for himself the example of the great Roman general Pompeii who, two thousand years ago, rid the Mediterranean of pirates! In like manner, we resolve to clean our shores, - and the Earth, - and the Heavens of this scourge. It can be done. It has been done. God's will be done again. ■

4:7

Beloved, let us love one another: for love is of God; and every one that loveth is born of God, and knoweth God.

4:8

He that loveth not knoweth not God; for God is love.



# Votre V.P. Relations Publiques parle

Sebastien Roy, Law III

Note de la rédaction : j'ai écrit cet article cette semaine avant que se déroulent les événements tragiques que nous connaissons. Il me semble soudainement un peu futile. Il était déjà presque tout écrit le 11, je le soumetts donc néanmoins.. Je tiens à exprimer toute ma compassion et ma sympathie à ceux qui ont été touchés par ce drame.

\*\*\*

En recueillant des appuis lors de la dernière campagne électorale de l'A.E.D. (plus communément appelée « L.S.A. »), j'ai évidemment dû me présenter à de nombreuses personnes. Une de celles-ci m'a demandé ce que faisais au juste le VP aux relations publiques. Je lui ai répondu que je serais en charge de la levée de fonds pour nos activités, de leur publicisation et de leur organisation dans une certaine mesure. "Corporate sponsorship," me répond cette personne "I am not signing your thing."

Cette anecdote ne fait qu'illustrer un certain malaise que certaines personnes, je pense, ressentent face à l'(omni)présence des cabinets d'avocats à notre faculté, par l'entremise de commandites d'événements et de publications. Le président de notre Association, Gilman, a déjà fait allusion à cette question dans son mot de bienvenue il y a deux semaines de cela.

Il est effectivement difficile d'échapper à la pub et à la commandite : celles-ci sont partout. Dans les journaux, à la télé, sur les vêtements et même dans les toilettes. À chaque

jour, les publicitaires conçoivent de nouvelles tactiques, allant jusqu'à peindre tous les wagons d'un métro en jaune (véridique!) ou encore tout une rue en argent (encore véridique!).

Des « journées sans achat » aux adbusters, il était inévitable qu'une certaine résistance à ce phénomène s'organise et que soit dénoncée la progression exponentielle de la pub. Avec le retrait de l'État de domaines qui étaient traditionnellement sa chasse gardée, comme la santé ou l'éducation, c'est le secteur privé qui a pris la relève jusqu'à un certain point. La publicité s'est effectivement infiltrée sans crier garde dans les secteurs que nos gouvernements ont délaissés. On n'a qu'à penser, par exemple, à ces fameux accords d'exclusivité qu'ont signés de nombreuses écoles avec les géants du Cola ces dernières années.

Mais il faut savoir faire la part des choses. Il y a toujours eu de la pub, ou presque. Le problème n'est pas l'existence de celle-ci mais plutôt l'inquiétante tendance qu'elle a de prendre plus d'importance que son support. On doit se poser des questions quand un des acteurs principaux d'un récent succès hollywoodien est une messagerie américaine.

Ceci étant dit, notre faculté n'est pas en reste au niveau de la commandite. En effet, l'A.E.D. a levé d'importantes sommes cet été, principalement en sollicitant des cabinets d'avocats et en leur accordant de l'espace publicitaire et dans certains cas la commandite exclusive d'un événement. Pourquoi? Parce que nous voulons pouvoir vous donner un

agenda. Parce que nous voulons pouvoir vous inviter, gracieusement, à une croisière sur le St-Laurent. Parce que vous payez déjà beaucoup en frais de scolarité et autres pour être ici. La réalité est simple: pour pouvoir organiser tous les événements que nous désirons mettre sur pied, nous avons besoin de ces fonds.

Certains de mes collègues préféreraient des activités plus modestes, et seraient prêts pour ce faire à refuser toute commandite de cabinets d'avocats. Je ne suis pas du même avis. Bien qu'une portion de l'argent reçu en commandite sert à financer des activités à vocation sociale, l'A.E.D. s'assure aussi qu'une portion de ces fonds soit remise à des œuvres caritatives. Par ailleurs, cette année, le social justice luncheon auquel plusieurs d'entre vous ont participé fût notamment financé par l'argent recueilli en commandites pour la semaine d'Orientation. Cet hiver, nous organiserons un Skit Nite et nous remettrons les [ommes levées par des commandites à des organismes comme Dans La Rue, Chez Doris, Share the Warmth et The Old Brewery Mission. Enfin, des cabinets d'avocats ont mis sur pied de nombreuses bourses académiques, qui récompensent l'excellence et qui permettent à des gens moins fortunés d'étudier ici.

Le VP Relations Publiques organise donc des levées de fond qui servent à financer, je le concède, des partys. Mais il y a beaucoup plus que ça, comme je l'ai illustré. En échange de ces sommes, nous accordons aux



cabinets une certaine visibilité. So be it. Vous êtes tous des adultes et savez faire la part des choses. Puis nous faisons attention : la publicité de ces cabinets ne sera jamais plus importante que son support. Un cabinet qui fait de la publicité dans ce journal n'a aucun droit de regard sur son contenu éditorial. Le commanditaire principal de Skit Nite n'a aucun droit de regard sur le contenu du spectacle. Je vous promets que ces choses ne changeront pas.

Laissez-moi savoir ce que vous en pensez. En anglais ou en français, faites-moi part de votre opinion sur la question : roys@lsa.lan.mcgill.ca. Ou écrivez dans le Quid. Ou venez faire un tour au bureau de l'A.E.D. Je vous offrirai une bière. Commanditée ou pas, c'est selon. ■

Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.  
Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.  
Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.  
Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.  
Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.  
Submit to the Quid. Submit to the Quid.

## Trash Talk

by Michele Williams & Hilary Stedwil

Hilary: You heard about the Prime Minister playing golf with John Monte and that other guy...what's his name? Jaguar Forest? No, Tiger Woods...anyway, I hope this upsets you as much as it upsets me. What is the world coming to? Masking a desire to play golf with one of the best in the world to confer with corporate Canada about raising pay-phone prices by a quarter and who knows what else to squeeze our lives even further into their marketing plans.

Michelle: Clearly I don't find golf to be one of the world's greatest evils. So he played golf, what is the big deal? If you are going to be concerned about corporate commercialism and its affect on politicians why stop with golf - lets look at the type of cars they drive, every time the PM arrives for a

function a car manufacturer gets publicity, and what about the clothing he wears, or the soft drinks he sips? I think you get the point. If anything this little round of golf might have helped the PM's image, he looked kind of sporty maybe even hip if you want to go that far. Ideally it would be better if the general public could relate to a PM that is serious, a leader and a public figure, but can't really see the harm if they can remember his name and know that he had a good shot on the number four hole! Once again my friend you seem a little "left" of the green.

Hilary: All people want is "bread and circus". As long as their fat, dumb and happy, it doesn't matter who is at the helm or what underhanded dealings they are involved in, is that it? Well I'm not buying your brand. A world led by corporate interests will do what's best for the organizations in charge with no room for public discourse or public policy. I am aware how poorly that term is waived around (and I hope first-year students are discovering the frustration I had with "Public policy's

bastardization at the hands of judges), but there are things in this world that the market economy and its players cannot administer fairly. If the curator of Canada's public policy is shooting eighteen with Bay Street, forgive me if I'm wondering who is minding the forest, literally and figuratively! You've got a great short game, but your big picture drive leaves something to be desired!

Michelle: Clearly you are blowing this out of proportion! It was a game a golf. If anything it was an opportunity for a politician to bring himself closer to the people. Am sure that Tiger Woods didn't really have a hidden agenda, other than acting as an ambassador from the United States (ok, and maybe Nike) and from the golfing community. Am glad that the PM was enough of a good sport to leave Parliament for a couple of hours, get outside and interact with people in an informal setting. From a PR point of view, I think the whole event scores a hole in one! ■



# Love Fest

by Marc Edmunds, Law III

**F**irst, that III just looks crazy. Whoever let me into my third year of law school should have their head checked. Nonetheless, here I am, and for those that don't know me yet, get used to my little rants and ravings. More often I tend to rave. Not so much of the ranting. And, as you can surely tell by the title, this is not meant to be a rant. Not so much. More of a rave. Much more.

Anyways, I suppose I should start writing an article soon, as that is kind of the point, no? OK – well, Love-Fest 2001 is all about me saying how much I love all the people that have helped make my life and jobs and tasks so much easier over the past two months, but two weeks especially. Sometimes I get mad at the people in this faculty for the griping, the competition, and the self-love. Then there are times when the humanity and just downright goodness shines through. My experience with Orientation has showcased the latter. There are some phenomenal human beings in this faculty, and it is about time they got their due. I don't think I will go through and try to name each of the people who have contributed and offered their time. Especially not since I will in all likelihood hunt them down tonight at 737 and profess my undying love and gratitude. But I did want to write an article that sang the praises of good people.

I am a person of extremes. I am also an idealist. Well, by compromise. I have said it before, and I will say it again – I am a Utopian by nature, reality is forced upon me by the world, and so I am an idealist by

compromise. And so I don't have much trouble seeing the best in people. But in a faculty that demands as much from its students as ours does, it is sometimes easy for people to lapse into non-goodness. And I have seen so much of the absolutely fantastic side of us over the past two

And I have seen so much of the absolutely fantastic side of us over the past two weeks that I just want to say, well, what I really want to say is  
WOOOOHHOOOOOOOO!!!!!!!

weeks that I just want to say, well, what I really want to say is WOOOOHHOOOOOOOO!!!!!!! But of course that isn't very eloquent. So instead I will try to elaborate with, like, real words and stuff.

Without sounding like some sort of a schmaltzy commercial, too often we are faced with negativity and respond with more of the same. When you try to be a little more understanding, and a little more compassionate, that is when you make a difference in people's lives. Throughout the course of Orientation, I had a few last-minute emergencies, and some of them were my fault, some of them weren't, but time after time, people worked with me to try and find a solution. And I cannot tell you how much of a difference it made for people to just try and have a little empathy. Not sympathy, because I never want anyone feeling sorry for me. But empathy; just try to have a little understanding – put yourself in my position, faced with the concerns I am facing. What is that saying about walking a thousand miles in someone else's moccasins? And it makes SUCH a difference

when you go that extra two feet. Er, feet, miles? That sounds odd, but you know what I mean.

And so I would like to commend all the people who have helped everyone get through all of the things they had to over the past little while. I know we have had to endure some rather extraordinary situations of late, but as Giuliani said, the best side of the human character has taken centre-stage, and recognition needs to be served. Is being served, right now.

On a COMPLETELY unrelated note, mark October 12<sup>th</sup> down in your calendars. For an encore, the **GREATEST BAND EVER IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNIVERSE** is coming back!!! They loved us so much that when they were rescheduling North American tour dates for after the rest of the world, they decided Montreal was deserving of a Take-3. **Elevation!** is coming back!!! Tickets were supposed to go on sale Saturday (September 15) but, understandably, the sale has been postponed, and so we will be anxiously awaiting news. Be prepared, however, for the triumphant return of **U2!!!** Anyone who was silly enough to miss the last two dates should really do themselves a favour and try to get tickets to the show – I **PROMISE** you, it is not to be missed!

On that note, I will stop bothering you. Never a bad way to end though, talking about U2. Especially as I have been known to devote entire articles to writing about them. U2 and good people – two of my very favourite things. ■



# Career and Placement Office

## ANNOUNCEMENT

THE CAREER PLACEMENT OFFICE IS PLEASED TO ANNOUNCE ITS 2001-2002 AREA OF PRACTICE DINNER SERIES

THE PLACEMENT OFFICE WILL INVITE A LAWYER TO TALK ABOUT HIS/HER AREA OF PRACTICE OVER A ROUNDTABLE DINNER SERVED AT THE FACULTY CLUB.

ONLY 7 SEATS AVAILABLE  
RESERVATIONS MANDATORY

WE WILL ACCEPT BOOKINGS IN PERSON ONLY ON A FIRST COME / FIRST SERVED BASIS (NO TELEPHONE OR E-MAIL BOOKINGS ACCEPTED),  
PLACEMENT OFFICE, OCDH, ROOM 42

COST FOR THE DINNER: 10\$  
THIS INCLUDES: MEAL, WINE, AND PRIVATE DISCUSSION WITH OUR GUEST!

FIRST DINNER OF THIS SERIES:  
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 25TH, 7:00 pm  
THEMES: International Law – Possibilities offered through the BAR – Practising in Québec – What to do if you have obtained your B.C.L. or LL.B. in an institution outside of Québec

OUR GUEST: Me Indra Balassoupramaniane - Barreau du Québec

## NEWSLETTER

September 13, 2001

Hello everyone,

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1) THE US RECRUITMENT PROCESS – Changes!
- 2) TORONTO OCI FINAL LIST – Deadline tomorrow!
- 3) LL.M. PLACEMENT - Area of Practice Dinner
- 4) INFORMATION SESSION ON THE RECRUITMENT PROCESSES – US, Toronto and government!
- 5) POSITIONS RECEIVED THIS WEEK
- 6) POSITION RECEIVED THIS WEEK (others)
- 7) AREA OF PRACTICE DINNER – First of the series
- 8) UPCOMING ATTRACTIONS!

=====

1) THE NEW YORK/BOSTON ON-CAMPUS INTERVIEW & APPLICATION PROCESS FOR SUMMER 2002 POSITIONS AND FOR ASSOCIATE POSITIONS FOR 2002

### PLEASE MAKE NOTE OF THE FOLLOWING CHANGES:

\*The information session on the US recruitment process scheduled for Monday, September 17 at 12:30 in the Moot Court is postponed to

September 24, 12:30, Moot Court.  
See section # 4.

\*PLEASE NOTE: If you are participating in the US recruitment process, you have to sign-up at the Placement Office. Remember that I will have to call you to inform you about the interview schedule! I strongly recommend you get an answering machine/voice mail if you do not have one. Make sure your message is professional in tone!

\*Be strategic when planning your factum! No extensions will be granted because of the recruitment processes.

\*The procedure (green sheet) is available at the Career Placement Office.

2) TORONTO OCI – Deadline tomorrow!

### NEW AND IMPORTANT DATA:

\*\*\* Deadline to submit applications to the Career Placement Office: Friday, September 14, 2001 before noon (not Sept. 17 as previously announced).

\*\*\* Baker McKenzie has corporate CDs for your information: Available at the CPO.

\*\*\* Late addition: Torkin Manes

Cohen Arbus LLP will be participating in the OCI.

\*\*\* Sign-up day for interviews: October 10, 12:30 in the Atrium

\*\*\* Be strategic when planning your factum! No extensions will be granted because of the recruitment processes.

\*\*\* Paper copies of the final list of participating firms (pink sheet) and the "procedure sheet" are available at the CPO.

\*\*\* Ask for the Goodman and Carr Erratum at the CPO.

Toronto Summer Recruitment - 2001  
UPDATED LIST  
List of participating firms

The following firms will be at the Centre de Conférence Mont-Royal (2200 Mansfield or 1000 Sherbrooke) to interview selected candidates on October 15, 2001. For contact coordinates and information on the firms, please consult the Applicant Information Booklet available at the Career Placement Office (or on the web at : <http://www.dwpv.com/students/students.html>).

Aird & Berlis LLP



(Please be advised that Sandra Bang will replace Tracey Kernahan as the Director of Student and Associate Affairs at Aird & Berlis LLP. Sandra's contact information is as follows:

Sandra Bang  
sbang@airdberlis.com  
416-865-4638

Please address cover letters to the attention of Sandra Bang.

Baker & McKenzie  
Bereskin & Parr  
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP  
Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP  
Donahue Ernst & Young  
Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP  
Fraser Milner Casgrain LLP  
Goodman and Carr LLP (See erratum at CPO)  
Goodmans LLP  
Gowling incorporating the practice of Smith Lyons  
Heenan Blaikie  
Hicks Morley Hamilton Stewart  
Storie LLP  
Lang Michener  
McCarthy Tétrault LLP  
McMillan Binch  
Miller Thomson LLP  
Ogilvy Renault  
Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP  
Smart & Biggar  
Stikeman Elliott  
\*\*\*Torkin Manes Cohen Arbus LLP  
(Switched to OCI)  
Torys

#### Résumé Programme

The following firms will not come on-campus to interview students. However, they are welcoming applications. Deadline: Friday, September 14, 2001 before noon at the Career Placement Office (not Sept. 17 as previously announced).

Cassels Brock Blackwell  
Fogler, Rubinoff LLP  
(Letters addressed to : Michael Donsky)

Kelly Affleck Greene  
Firm not mentioned in the Booklet.  
Applications should be sent to:  
Jason C. Markwell

Kelly Affleck Green  
One First Canadian Place, P.O. Box 489  
Suite 840  
Toronto, ON  
M5X 1E5  
Tel: (416) 360-2800  
jmarkwell@kag.net  
www.kag.net  
Lenczner Slaght Royce Smith Griffin  
Ridout & Maybee LLP  
(The Ottawa office – address your letter to Marcus Gallie – and the Mississauga office – address your letter to Dennis Moss – will accept applications. Check their website for addresses.)

Shearman & Sterling  
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP  
Stringer Brisbin Humphrey

#### 3) LL. M. PLACEMENT PROGRAM

\*\*\*The Area of Practice Dinner may be of special interest to some of you.  
Please see # 7.

Please note that LL.M. students interested in participating in the recruitment process need to be registered with Margaret Baratta and to have acquitted their fees. Margaret Baratta is located at 3661 Peel, room # 201.

#### U.S. and German firms (2001-2002): Foreign Lawyers Programs

Résumés should be sent directly to the following firms after December 1st, 2001 (for the 2002-2003 Program)  
Mayer Brown & Platt  
Francie Vaughn  
Recruiting Coordinator  
1675 Broadway  
New York, NY 10019  
fvaughn@mayerbrown.com  
Interested students should send their CVs after January 1st, 2001. They will accept LL.M. résumés for their Latin American Practice (Spanish/Portuguese language skills necessary).

Shearman & Sterling

Katie Rittenberry  
599 Lexington Avenue  
New York NY 10022-6069  
krittenberry@shearman.com  
Interested students should apply after December 1st, 2001

White & Case  
Jennifer L. Young  
Director, International Services  
White & Case, LLP  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
Interested students should apply no later than December 31, 2001.  
Contact Mollie Kinnamon for questions:  
mkinnamon@whitecase.com.

#### 4) INFORMATION SESSION ON THE RECRUITMENT PROCESSES

\*\*\*The information session detailing the recruitment procedures scheduled for September 17 at 12:30 in the Moot Court is postponed until September 24, 12:30, Moot Court. It is highly recommended that students attend the information session since changes have been implemented since last year. Students will have the chance to meet with students who summered in the U.S. and in Toronto.

Students who worked for the government this summer will also participate in this information session. They will be happy to share their experience with you.

#### 5) POSITIONS RECEIVED THIS WEEK

-Davis & Company, will be hiring second-year students to work in their Vancouver office during the summer of 2002. It is a full-service law-firm. Brochures available at the CPO. Deadline: October 26, 2001. Send your application with transcripts to:

Chair, Student Recruitment Committee  
Davis & Company  
2800 Park Place  
666 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC  
V6C 2Z7  
www.davis.ca



-Paine Edmonds, Vancouver, is a 15-lawyers firm established downtown Vancouver involved mainly with civil litigation on behalf of insurance companies, financial institutions and individual clients at both the trial and appellate levels. They are looking for articling students.

Paine Edmonds  
Suite 1100, 510 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, BC  
V6C 3A8  
Tel.: (604) 683-1211  
Fax: (604) 681-5084  
Law @Paine-Edmonds.com

-Peck & Company is a four-lawyer firm practicing criminal law in Vancouver. They will be interviewing articling candidates for 2003-2004 next summer. If interested:

Peck & Company  
610-744 West Hastings St.  
Vancouver, BC  
V5C 1A5  
Tel. : (604) 669-0208  
Fax. : (604) 669-0616  
peckandcompany@home.com

Please include transcripts in your application.

- For summer positions: Placement Québec has started its promotion : [www.PEQ.mic.gouv.qc.ca!](http://www.PEQ.mic.gouv.qc.ca!)

6) POSITION RECEIVED THIS WEEK (others)

- DIRECTOR, POLICING PROGRAM, Lawyers Committee for Human Rights, New  
The Lawyers Committee for Human Rights plays a leading role in the international human rights movement - protecting the rights of refugees in the U.S. and overseas; advancing standards of international justice; and providing a lifeline to local human rights defenders worldwide.

- The Lawyers Committee is initiating an advocacy program that seeks to combine a rights-respecting approach to effective policing with an emphasis on positive police-community relations. They are undertaking intensive research on policing in the

United States (with an emphasis on the New York City region), as well as several other countries.

Primary responsibilities will include:

Refining the program's strategy and developing and managing the staff's skills and capacity to intervene.

The ideal candidate will have the following qualifications:

Substantial practical experience with law enforcement activities, and familiarity with police practice in large US cities;

Supervisory savvy in strategy design, operations, fiscal, and personnel management;

Extraordinary communication skills, including the ability to make complex issues understandable to lay audiences;

Experience in conflict resolution.

ANNUAL SALARY: Competitive. Excellent benefits.

SUBMISSIONS: Resume, cover letter, and three (3) references to: LAWYERS COMMITTEE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS  
HR- Policing Director 333 Seventh Avenue, 13th Floor  
10001 - 5004 New York, New York  
Or by facsimile to: (212) 845 5299  
Or by E-mail to: [humanresources@lchr.org](mailto:humanresources@lchr.org)  
[www.lchr.org](http://www.lchr.org)

## 7) AREA OF PRACTICE DINNER SERIES

THE CAREER PLACEMENT OFFICE IS PLEASED TO ANNOUNCE ITS 2001-2002 AREA OF PRACTICE DINNER SERIES

THE PLACEMENT OFFICE WILL INVITE A LAWYER TO TALK ABOUT HIS/HER AREA OF PRACTICE OVER A ROUNDTABLE DINNER SERVED AT THE FACULTY CLUB.

ONLY 7 SEATS AVAILABLE  
RESERVATIONS MANDATORY

WE WILL ACCEPT BOOKINGS IN PERSON ONLY ON FIRST COME / FIRST SERVED BASIS (NO TELEPHONE OR E-MAIL BOOKINGS ACCEPTED), PLACEMENT OFFICE, OCDH, ROOM 42

COST FOR THE DINNER: 10\$  
THIS INCLUDES: MEAL, WINE, AND PRIVATE DISCUSSION WITH OUR GUEST!

FIRST DINNER OF THIS SERIES: TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 25TH, 7:00 pm  
THEMES: International Law – Possibilities offered through the BAR Practising in Québec – What to do if you have obtained your B.C.L. or LL.B. in an institution outside of Québec

OUR GUEST: Me Indra Balassoupramaniane, Barreau du Québec

## 8) UPCOMING ATTRACTIONS!

The East/West Recruitment Process is coming up soon in October...

The "Entente de recrutement 2001-2002" for Montréal should be ready soon...

The CPO is planning an Information session on Human Rights and another one for first-year students...More to come next week...

**Submit  
to the  
*Quid  
Novi.***